

Interview Summary

Application No. 09/285,249 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Hendricks

	Christopher Grant	2611	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative,	PTO personnel):		
(1) Christopher Grant	(3) John Harrop		
(2) Kelly Lee			
Date of Interview Oct 23, 2002			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferen c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ appli	cant 2) applicant's representative		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \(\subseteq \text{ Y} \)	es e) 🗵 No. If yes, brief descripti	on:	
Claim(s) discussed: 1, 9, and 21 Identification of prior art discussed: Farry et al.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was read was substance of Interview including description of the gany other comments: Applicant's proposed claims appear to overcome the amendment, the application will be fully reviewed.	eneral nature of what was agreed to i	f an agreement	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, when available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a	re no copy of the amendments that was separate record of the substance of t	ould render the	claims allowable is box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (So already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of	ee MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to TH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO	o the last Office FILE A STATEM reverse side or o CHRIS PRIM	action has ENT OF THE
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's	s signature, if re	